

S.SINHA COLLEGE AURANGABAD (BIHAR)

P.G NOTES

TOPIC NAME :- REGULAR & NORMAL SPACES

CLASS :- M.SC (MATHEMATICS)

SEMESTER: - SECOND (2nd)

PAPER:- MME(203)

By Assistant Prof.NIHARIKA KUMARI

S.SINHA COLLEGE AURANGABAD (BIHAR)

Regular space:-

A topological space X is called a regular space if for every closed subset F of X and every point $x \in X$ s.t. $x \notin F$, \exists disjoint open sets G and H s.t. $F \subseteq G$ and $x \in H$.

Ex:- Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$, we consider the topology T on X given by $T = \{\emptyset, X, \{x\}, \{y, z\}\}$.

Since the closed subsets of the topological space (X, T) are $X, \emptyset, \{y, z\}, \{x\}$. So it is a regular space. However (X, T) is not a T_1 -space, since the singleton $\{z\}$ is not closed.

Thus a regular space which is also a T_1 -space is called a T_3 -space.

Q. Show that every T_3 -space is a T_2 -space.

Ans:- Let X be a T_3 -space. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. Since X is a T_1 -space, $\{x\}$ is closed and since $y \neq x$, $y \notin \{x\}$.

Since X is regular, therefore \exists disjoint open sets G and H s.t.

$\{x\} \subseteq G$ and $y \in H$.

Thus $x \in G$ and $y \in H$.

Thus x and y belongs respectively to the disjoint open sets G and H . Hence X is T_2 -space.

Normal space:- A topological space X is called a normal space if for ~~each~~^{each} pair of disjoint closed subsets F_1 and F_2 of X , \exists a pair of disjoint open sets G and H s.t. $F_1 \subseteq G$ and $F_2 \subseteq H$.

Theorem:- Show that every metric space is a normal.

Ans:- Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of X , we shall show that \exists disjoint open sets G and H s.t. $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$.

If either A or B is empty, say $A = \emptyset$ then \emptyset and X are disjoint open sets s.t. $A \subseteq \emptyset$ and $B \subseteq X$.

Hence we may assume that A and B are non-empty. Let $a \in A$. Since A and B are disjoint $a \notin B$.

Since B is closed $d(a, B) = \delta_a > 0$

similarly, if $b \in B$ then $d(b, A) = \delta_b > 0$.

Let $S_a = S(a, \frac{\delta_a}{4})$, $S_b = S(b, \frac{\delta_b}{4})$ so $a \in S_a$, $b \in S_b$.

We take $G = \bigcup_{a \in A} S_a$, $H = \bigcup_{b \in B} S_b$;

Now, G and H are open sets, since each of G and H is a union of open spheres.

Since $a \in S_a$, $b \in S_b \forall a \in A, b \in B$, it follows that $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$.

We next show that $G \cap H = \emptyset$. Suppose if possible $G \cap H \neq \emptyset$.

Let $z \in G \cap H$. Then $z \in G, z \in H$ hence $\exists a_0 \in A, b_0 \in B$ s.t. $z \in S_{a_0}, z \in S_{b_0}$. Let $d(a_0, b_0) = \alpha > 0$.

then $d(a_0, B) = \delta_{a_0} \leq \alpha$ and $d(b_0, A) = \delta_{b_0} \leq \alpha$.

But $z \in S_{a_0}$ and $z \in S_{b_0}$ so $d(a_0, z) \leq \frac{1}{4} \delta_{a_0}$ and $d(z, b_0) < \frac{1}{4} \delta_{b_0}$.

Hence by the triangle inequality

$$d(a_0, b_0) = \alpha \leq d(a_0, z) + d(z, b_0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha.$$

Thus $2\alpha < \alpha$ and $2 < 1$, which is impossible. Hence $G \cap H = \emptyset$.

Q. Show that every compact Hausdorff space is a normal T_1 -space, i.e. a T_4 -space.

Ans:- Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Since X is a T_2 -space, X is also a T_1 -space. Now, in order to show that X is a normal space let A and B be any two disjoint closed subsets of X .

If at least one of A, B is \emptyset , say $A = \emptyset$ we can take $G = \emptyset$, $H = X$ then G and H are disjoint open sets such that $A \subseteq G$, $B \subseteq H$. We may, therefore assume that both A and B are non-empty.

Since X is compact, A and B are disjoint compact subspaces of X . Let p be a point of A , then $p \notin B$. Since X is Hausdorff, then \exists disjoint open sets G_p, H s.t. $p \in G_p$, $B \subseteq H$. If we allow p to vary over A , we obtain a class of G_p whose union contains A ; and since A is compact, some finite subclass which we denote by

$\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m\}$ is s.t. $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m G_i$. If H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m are the H 's which correspond to G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m , we put

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^m G_i, \quad H = \bigcap_{i=1}^m H_i.$$

then G and H are open sets s.t. $A \subseteq G$, $B \subseteq H$.
 $\therefore G \cap H = \emptyset$.

$\therefore X$ is a normal space. Thus X is a normal T_1 -space, i.e. T_4 -space.

Theorem:- A topological space X is normal iff for every closed set F and open set H containing F \exists an open set U s.t.
 $F \subseteq U \subseteq \bar{U} \subseteq H$.

Proof:- Necessary condition:- Let X be a normal space, let F be a closed set in X and H an open set such that $F \subseteq H$. Then H^c is closed and $F \cap H^c = \emptyset$.

Thus F and H^c are disjoint closed sets in the normal space X . Hence \exists open sets U and M such that $F \subseteq U$, $H^c \subseteq M$ and $U \cap M = \emptyset$.

Now, $U \cap M = \emptyset \Rightarrow U \subseteq M^c$ and $H^c \subseteq M = M \subseteq H$.
Moreover, M^c is closed.

Hence $F \subseteq U \subseteq \bar{U} \subseteq M \subseteq H$.

Sufficient condition:-

Suppose that the given condition is satisfied. Let F_1 and F_2 be any two disjoint closed sets in X . Then $F_1 \subseteq F_1^c$ and F_2^c is open.

Hence by the given condition \exists an open set U s.t.
 $F_1 \subseteq U \subseteq \bar{U} \subseteq F_2^c$.

But $\bar{U} \subseteq F_2^c \Rightarrow F_2 \subseteq \bar{U}^c$ and $U \subseteq \bar{U} \Rightarrow U \cap \bar{U}^c = \emptyset$.

Moreover, \bar{U}^c is open.

Thus, $F_1 \subseteq U$, $F_2 \subseteq \bar{U}^c$ with U, \bar{U}^c disjoint open sets.

Hence X is normal.

Theorem:- show that a closed subspace of normal space is normal.

Ans:- Let M be a closed subspace of a normal space X , let A and B be any two disjoint closed subsets of M , then A and B are also disjoint closed subsets of X .

Since X is normal therefore exist disjoint open sets U and V in X s.t. $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$,

then $M \cap U$ and $M \cap V$ are disjoint open sets in M s.t. $A \subseteq M \cap U$, $B \subseteq M \cap V$.

Hence M is normal.

* Urysohn's lemma :-

Q. state and prove Urysohn's lemma.

Ans:- statement:- If A and B are disjoint closed subsets of a normal space X then \exists a continuous real function f defined on X with $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$ s.t. $f(A) = \{0\}$ and $f(B) = \{1\}$.

Proof:- Since $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $A \subseteq B^c$, since B is closed,

then B^c is an open set containing the closed set A , since X is normal space, it follows that \exists an open set which we name $U_{1/2}$ s.t.

$$A \subseteq U_{1/2} \subseteq \bar{U}_{1/2} \subseteq B^c.$$

Now, $U_{1/2}$ is an open set containing the closed set A and B^c is an open set containing the closed set $\bar{U}_{1/2}$.

P.T.O.

Urysohn's Lemma (continue):-

We now prove that $f: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous.
for this we note that all intervals of the form $[0, a]$ and $]b, 1]$ (where $0 < a, b < 1$) constitute an open subbase for the subspace $[0,1]$ of the real line \mathbb{R} .

In order to show that f is continuous, it is sufficient to prove that $f^{-1}([0, a])$ and $f^{-1}(]b, 1])$ are ~~the~~ open sets in X (where $0 < a, b < 1$).

We first show that,

$$f(x) < a \Leftrightarrow x \in \cup_{l < a} \mathcal{U}_l.$$

In fact, if $x \in \cup_{l < a} \mathcal{U}_l$ for any $l < a$, then since S is dense in $[0,1]$, it follows from the definition of f that $f(x) = \text{l.u.b.} \{l : x \in \mathcal{U}_l\} \leq l < a$.

Hence $f(x) < a \Rightarrow$ that $x \in \cup_{l < a} \mathcal{U}_l$ for some $l < a$.

on the other hand, if $f(x) \geq a$ and $l < a$ then again by defⁿ of l.u.b. $\exists l_1 \in S$ s.t. $l < l_1 \leq a$ and s.t. $x \notin \mathcal{U}_{l_1}$.

Thus if $f(x) \geq a$, $x \notin \mathcal{U}_l$ for every $l < a$.

Hence if $x \in \mathcal{U}_l$ for some $l < a$ then $f(x) < a$.

Thus the element holds. It follows that

$$f^{-1}([0, a]) = \{x \in X : 0 \leq f(x) < a\}.$$

$$= \{x \in X : x \in \mathcal{U}_l \text{ for some } l < a\}.$$

$$= \cup_{l < a} \mathcal{U}_l$$

$$= \cup_{l < a} \mathcal{U}_l.$$

Since each \mathcal{U}_l is an open set and so any union of open sets is open.

It follows that $\cup_{l < a} \mathcal{U}_l$ is open in X . Hence $f^{-1}([0, a])$ is

an open set in X .

P.T.O.

Urysohn's Lemma (Remaining part):-

Since X is a normal space, \exists open sets which we name as h_2, h_3 s.t.

$$A \subseteq h_1 \subseteq \bar{h}_1 \subseteq h_2 \subseteq \bar{h}_2 \subseteq h_3 \subseteq \bar{h}_3 \subseteq B^c.$$

continuing this process, for each dyadic number of the form $l = \frac{m}{2^n}$ where $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $m=1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^n$ of $[0, 1]$, we can name an open set h_i with the property that for any two dyadic rational numbers l_1 and l_2 in $[0, 1]$.

$$l_1 < l_2 \Rightarrow A \subseteq h_{l_1} \subseteq \bar{h}_{l_1} \subseteq h_{l_2} \subseteq \bar{h}_{l_2} \subseteq B^c.$$

It may be noted that the set S of all dyadic rational numbers in $[0, 1]$ is dense in $[0, 1]$.

We now define a function f on X by

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ if } x \in \text{every } h_i.$$

$$= \text{l.u.b. } \{ l : x \notin h_i \} \text{ otherwise.}$$

Since $0 \leq l \leq 1$, it is clear that $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$.

Also, if $x \in A$ then by the compositions of h_i , $x \in$ every h_i and hence $f(x) = 0$.

again, if $y \in B$, then since every $h_i \subseteq B^c$, $y \notin$ any h_i .

$$\text{Hence } f(y) = \text{l.u.b. } \{ l : y \notin h_i \}.$$

$$= \text{l.u.b. of all the dyadic rational numbers } l \text{ in } [0, 1].$$

$$= \text{l.u.b. } S$$

$$= 1 \text{ (since } S \text{ is dense in } [0, 1]).$$

Thus $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in A$ and $f(y) = 1$ for $y \in B$.

$$\text{Hence } f(A) = \{ f(x) : x \in A \} = \{ 0 \} \text{ and}$$

$$f(B) = \{ f(y) : y \in B \} = \{ 1 \}.$$

Remaining part of Urysohn's Lemma:-

It can also be seen that

$$f(x) > b \Leftrightarrow x \in \bar{U}_x \text{ for some } b > b.$$

$$\text{Hence } f^{-1}([b, 1]) = \{x \in X : f(x) > b\} \\ = \bigcup_{b > b} \bar{U}_x.$$

Since each \bar{U}_x is open, it follows that $f^{-1}([b, 1])$ is also an open set in X . Hence f is continuous. ~~Thus~~ Hence the proof.

The Tietze Extension Theorem

Q. State and prove Tietze Extension theorem.

Ans- Statement:- Any bounded continuous real function on a closed subset F of a normal space X can be extended continuously to the whole space X ; preserving the same bounds.

Proof:- Let f be continuous on the closed subset F of X and let $f(x) \leq K \forall x \in F$ and for some real number $K > 0$.

Consider the subsets F_1 and F_2 of F defined by $F_1 = \{x \in F : f(x) \leq \frac{-K}{3}\} = f^{-1}[-\frac{K}{3}, -\frac{K}{3}]$

and $F_2 = \{x \in F : f(x) \geq \frac{K}{3}\} = f^{-1}[\frac{K}{3}, K]$.

then F_1 and F_2 are disjoint, non-empty and closed in F , and since F is closed in X , F_1 and F_2 are also closed in X . Since X is normal, it follows that \exists a continuous function

$$e_1: X \rightarrow [-\frac{K}{3}, \frac{K}{3}] \text{ s.t.}$$

$$e_1(F_1) = \{-\frac{K}{3}\} \text{ and } e_1(F_2) = \{\frac{K}{3}\}.$$

Tietze Extension Theorem (Remaining part): -

We next define a function h_1 on F by $h_1(x) = f(x) - g_1(x)$.
Since f and e_1 are continuous, h_1 is also continuous. Moreover, $|h_1(u)| \leq \frac{2}{3}K$.

This can be seen as follows: -

(i) If $x \in F_1$, then $-K \leq f(x) \leq -\frac{K}{3}$ and $g_1(x) = -\frac{K}{3}$.
Hence $-\frac{2}{3}K \leq f(x) - g_1(x) \leq 0$.

$$\text{i.e. } -\frac{2}{3}K \leq h_1(x) \leq 0 \leq \frac{2}{3}K.$$

(ii) If $x \in F_2$ then $\frac{K}{3} \leq f(x) \leq K$ and
 $g_1(x) = \frac{K}{3}$.

$$\text{Hence } \frac{K}{3} - \frac{K}{3} \leq f(x) - g_1(x) \leq K - \frac{K}{3},$$

$$\text{i.e. } 0 \leq h_1(x) \leq \frac{2}{3}K.$$

(iii) Lastly, if $x \in F$ but $x \notin F_1 \cup F_2$.

$$\text{then } -\frac{K}{3} < f(x) < \frac{K}{3} \text{ and } -\frac{K}{3} \leq g_1(x) \leq \frac{K}{3},$$

$$\text{so that } -\frac{K}{3} - \frac{K}{3} < f(x) - g_1(x) < \frac{K}{3} - (-\frac{K}{3}).$$

$$\text{Thus } -\frac{2K}{3} < f(x) - g_1(x) = h_1(x) < \frac{2K}{3}.$$

$$\text{Thus in every case, } -\frac{2}{3}K \leq h_1(x) \leq \frac{2}{3}K.$$

$$\text{i.e. } |h_1(x)| \leq \frac{2}{3}K \text{ for all } x \in F.$$

Now, applying the above procedure to $h_1(x)$ with bounds $-\frac{2K}{3}$ and $\frac{2K}{3}$, a continuous function $g_2(x)$ is obtained on the whole space X with $|g_2(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3}K$ and a continuous function $h_2(x) = h_1(x) - g_2(x)$ is defined on F with $|h_2(x)| \leq (\frac{2}{3})^2 K$. P.T.O.

Tietze Extension Theorem (Remaining part)

In general, we obtain for each +ve integer n , a continuous fn $g_n(x)$ on X with $|g_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n-1} K$, and a continuous function $h_n(x) = h_{n-1}(x) - g_n(x)$ on F with $|h_n(x)| \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n K$.

Now, by Weierstrass's M-test the infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)$ of continuous fns converges uniformly on X , and so defines a continuous function $g_0(x)$ on X with

$$|g_0(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n K = K.$$

Also, on F we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x) &= g_1(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n+1}(x) \\ &= f(x) - h_1(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h_n(x) - h_{n+1}(x)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x) - h_{n+1}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Since $|h_{n+1}(x)| \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n+1} \cdot K$, ~~lim~~ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n+1}(x) = 0$.

Hence $f_0(x) = f(x)$ on F .

Thus \exists a continuous fn f_0 on X which is an extension of the given continuous bounded fn f on F and f_0 has the same bounds $-K$ and K which were the bounds for f .

Hence the theorem.

* Countably compact space:-

A topological space X is said to be countably compact if every infinite subset E of X has at least one accumulation point in X .

→ Eg:- Every bounded closed interval $[a, b]$ is (subspace of \mathbb{R}) is countably compact.

→ If E is an infinite subset of $[a, b]$ then E has at least one a is also bounded and hence by Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, E has at least one accumulation point $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $E \subseteq [a, b]$, m is also an accumulation point of $[a, b]$. But $[a, b]$ is closed. Hence $m \in [a, b]$. Hence $[a, b]$ is countably compact.

→ Eg:- Every compact space is countably compact.

→ Let X be a compact space. Suppose, if possible, X is not countably compact. Then \exists an infinite subset E of X which has no accumulation point. Hence E is closed. Take any $x \in E$. Then x is not an accumulation point of E . Hence \exists an open set V_x containing x which contains no point of E other than x . Consider the family

$$\{E^c\} \cup \{V_x : x \in E\}$$

This family is an open covering of X which is not reducible to any finite subcovering of X . Hence X is not compact. But this contradicts our supposition that X is compact. Hence every compact space is countably compact.

* Example of a countably compact space which is not compact.

→ Let N be the set of all +ve integers and let T be the topology on N generated by the family $H = \{[2n-1, 2n] : n \in N\}$ of subsets of N .

Let E be a non-empty subset of N . Let $m_0 \in E$. If m_0 is even m_0-1 is an accumulation point of E and if m_0 is odd then m_0+1 is an accumulation point of E . Hence every non-empty subset of N has an accumulation point. Hence (N, T) is countably compact.

The family H is an open covering of N which is not reducible to any finite subcovering of N . Hence (N, T) is not compact.

Sequentially compact space:-

A topological space X is said to be sequentially compact if every sequence in X contains a subsequence which converges to a point of X .

Eg:- Every finite subspace of a topological space is sequentially compact.

** Every sequentially compact space is countably compact but not conversely.

→

Q. Show that every locally compact Hausdorff space is a Tychonoff space.

Soln: - Let (X, T) be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let (X_p, T_p) be the one point compactification of (X, T) . We know that (X_p, T_p) is a compact Hausdorff space and that the given topology T on X equals its relative topology as a subspace of (X_p, T_p) . Since X is a T_2 space, X is also a T_1 space. Now, let $x \in X$ and let F be any closed subset of X s.t. $x \notin F$.

By the defⁿ of relative topology, \exists a closed set H in X_p s.t. $F = X \cap H$. Then $x \notin H$.

Now, $\{x\}$ and H are disjoint closed sets in the normal space X_p . Hence by Urysohn's lemma, \exists a continuous fn $f: X_p \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t.

$$f(\{x\}) = \{0\} \text{ and } f(H) = \{1\}.$$

$$\text{i.e. } f(x) = 0 \text{ and } f(H) = \{1\}.$$

Since $F \subset H$, we have $f(F) = \{1\}$.

Now, the restriction f' of f to X is a continuous fn $f': X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t. $f'(x) = 0$ and $f'(F) = \{1\}$.

Hence (X, T) is a completely regular T_1 space. i.e. (X, T) is a Tychonoff space.

proved.

Thank you....